

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

KEVIN RICHARDSON,

Petitioner,

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, *et al.*,

Respondents.

Case No. 2:25-cv-01262-MMD-NJK

ORDER

Petitioner Kevin Richardson has filed a one-page “Complaint-Information-Indictment U.S. Attorney” under 18 U.S.C. § 1751 and 18 U.S.C. § 2385. (ECF No. 1-1 (“Complaint”).) Richardson’s Complaint is directed to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit and explains that there has been “no activity” in the federal habeas case he filed with this Court. (*Id.*)

The Court dismisses the Complaint. First, if Richardson is seeking relief from the Court of Appeals, he needs to file an action with the Court of Appeals. Second, Richardson’s Complaint is filed under 18 U.S.C. § 1751 and 18 U.S.C. § 2385, which have to do with presidential assassination and advocating for the overthrow of the government, respectively. Richardson cannot file such an indictment against a party. Third, Richardson has filed numerous federal habeas cases which have all been resolved. (See 3:19-cv-00564-MMD-CLB; 2:22-cv-01887-JAD-VCF; 2:23-cv-00037-ART-EJY; 2:25-cv-00077-CDS-DJA.)

It is therefore ordered that the Complaint (ECF No. 1-1) is dismissed. A Certificate of Appealability is denied, as jurists of reason would not find dismissal of the Complaint for the reasons stated herein to be debatable or wrong.

1 It is further kindly ordered that the Clerk of Court (1) file the Complaint (ECF No.
2 1-1), (2) add Nevada Attorney General Aaron D. Ford as counsel for Respondents,¹ (3)
3 send the Nevada Attorney General a copy of this Order and all other filings in this matter
4 by regenerating the notices of electronic filing, (4) enter final judgment, and (5) close this
5 case.

6 DATED THIS 15th Day of July 2025.

7
8 

9 MIRANDA M. DU
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 _____
27 ¹No response is required from Respondents other than to respond to any orders
28 of a reviewing court.